



October 13, 2025

Mr. Timothy Long, P.G.
Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
909 Elmerton Avenue
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

**Re: Third Quarter 2025 Environmental Monitoring Report
Lancaster Landfill, Facility ID# 101559
Mount Joy Township, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania**

Dear Mr. Long:

The 3rd Quarter 2025 Environmental Monitoring Report for Lancaster Landfill is attached. Included with this submittal is a narrative summarizing the quarterly sampling and analytical results, the completed Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection forms, and an electronic data deliverable in the format you requested. Sampling and analysis were performed by Geochemical Testing, Inc. Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC has reviewed the quarterly data and prepared the enclosed report.

Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact me at (215) 783-2216.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jarod Freese".

Jarod Freese
WM
Environmental Protection Manager

Enclosure

cc: Paul Bermillo (WM)

THIRD QUARTER 2025 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT

for

**Lancaster Landfill
Mount Joy Township
Lancaster County, Pennsylvania
PADEP ID No. 101559**

Prepared for:

**Lancaster Landfill
2487 Cloverleaf Road
Elizabeth, Pennsylvania 17022**

Prepared by:

**Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC
2400 Ansys Drive, Suite 403
Canonsburg, Pennsylvania 15317**

**Submitted:
Langan Project No.:**

**October 2025
250243901**

LANGAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	2
2.1	REGIONAL PHYSIOGRAPHY AND LOCAL GEOLOGY	2
2.2	HYDROGEOLOGY	2
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING NETWORK	3
3.1	GROUNDWATER, SURFACE WATER, AND UNDERDRAIN MONITORING NETWORKS	3
3.2	LEACHATE COLLECTION AND DETECTION ZONE NETWORKS	4
3.3	PERIMETER METHANE GAS MONITORING PROBES	4
3.4	DUST FALL MONITORING NETWORK	4
4.	QUARTERLY MONITORING RESULTS	5
4.1	SAMPLING ACTIVITIES	5
4.2	GROUNDWATER RESULTS	6
4.3	SURFACE WATER RESULTS	6
4.4	LEACHATE RESULTS	6
4.5	PERIMETER METHANE GAS MONITORING PROBE RESULTS	7
4.6	DUST FALL MONITORING RESULTS	7
5.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	8
6.	CERTIFICATION	9
7.	REFERENCES	10

TABLES

Table 1	Field Sampling Summary
Table 2	Laboratory Analytical Results

FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Location Map
- Figure 2 Water Quality Monitoring Plan
- Figure 3 New Oxford Formation Potentiometric Map, August 4, 2025
- Figure 4 New Oxford Formation Time Series

APPENDICES

- Appendix A PADEP Form 21 for Groundwater, Surface Water, and Underdrains
- Appendix B Laboratory Files and PADEP Electronic Data Deliverable
- Appendix C PADEP Form 50 for Leachate
- Appendix D Perimeter Methane Gas Monitoring Probes
- Appendix E Dust Fall Results

1. INTRODUCTION

Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC (Langan) completed a review of the results of the 3rd Quarter 2025 environmental monitoring activities at Lancaster Landfill (the “site” or “facility”) in Mount Joy Township, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania (Figure 1). Lancaster Landfill is operated by WM under Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) Solid Waste Permit No. 101559 (the “permit”). The sampling and analytical services were provided by Geochemical Testing, Inc. (Geochemical Testing) under a separate contract to WM, and Langan reviewed the quarterly data and prepared this report.

The sampling event was performed by Geochemical Testing on August 4, 2025. In accordance with the permit, the environmental monitoring program at the site provides environmental protection during and after landfill development. Field work, sampling methodologies, data evaluation, data quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC), chemical analysis, and time series analysis were conducted in general accordance with the permit. Deviations, if encountered, are described below.

Lancaster Landfill is a construction and demolition solid waste facility which operates under PADEP Solid Waste Permit No. 101559. The permit was initially issued on June 2, 1992, it was most recently renewed on September 1, 2021, and extended to December 31, 2031. The facility consists of an approximately 135-acre parcel of land, of which approximately 58.81 acres are permitted for waste disposal.

2. SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The information presented in this section was included in the 2007 Major Permit Modification Application (Major Mod) for the Phase I and II Landfill Expansion, which was prepared by Blazosky Associates, Inc (BAI). The Major Mod was approved by the PADEP on March 18, 2011.

2.1 Regional Physiography and Local Geology

Lancaster Landfill is located in the southwestern portion of the Narrow Neck sub-basin of the Gettysburg-Newark Basin Complex. The Gettysburg- Newark Basin Complex lies between the Great Valley and Piedmont Physiographic Provinces and contains Triassic and Jurassic un-metamorphosed, sedimentary, and mafic igneous rocks.

Lancaster Landfill is underlain by conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, and shale of the New Oxford Formation. The sedimentary units in the vicinity of Lancaster Landfill generally strike east-northeast to west-southwest (approximately N60°E) and dip approximately 50 degrees to the north-northwest.

2.2 Hydrogeology

Groundwater beneath Lancaster Landfill is present within the New Oxford Formation which is characterized by a series of interbedded sandstones, siltstones, and shales. Groundwater movement within this unit is typical of fractured bedrock formations and flows primarily through secondary porosity.

2.2.1 Hydrogeologic Properties of the New Oxford Formation

Pumping testing performed during the Major Mod permitting process indicate that the New Oxford Hydrostratigraphic Unit has an average hydraulic conductivity of 24 to 98 feet per day (ft/day). The storage coefficient is estimated to be 4.1×10^{-4} to 5.47×10^{-3} (unitless) and effective porosity is estimated to be 25 percent.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING NETWORK

The environmental monitoring network includes groundwater and surface water monitoring locations, underdrain sampling points, leachate collection and detection zone monitoring points, a network of perimeter methane gas monitoring probes, and a network of dust fall monitoring locations (Figure 2).

3.1 Groundwater, Surface Water, and Underdrain Monitoring Networks

The groundwater, surface water, and underdrain monitoring networks at Lancaster Landfill includes quarterly sampling at groundwater wells completed within the New Oxford Formation, surface water monitoring locations around the landfill, and underdrain monitoring points from beneath the landfill. These monitoring networks have been established to meet the requirements of the permit. The monitoring well network targets the preferential flow path for the facility as described in the 2018 Groundwater Monitoring Plan, prepared by Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc. (CEC) and is designed as an early detection monitoring system.

Form 21 Groundwater Monitoring Network				
Upgradient Wells	MW-101U			
Downgradient Wells	MW-104D	MW-105DR	MW-106DR	MW-107DR
	MW-108DR	MW-109DR	MW-110DR	MW-111DR

Form 21 Surface Water Monitoring Network				
MGSU01	MGSD02	MGSU03	MGSD04	MGSD05
MGSU06	MGSU07	MGSU07		

Form 21 Underdrain Monitoring Network				
U-C2	U-C5	U-C6A	U-C6B	U-C8A
U-C9B	U-C9C	U-C10A	U-C10B	U-C10C
U-C10D				

Several underdrains were first sampled in 2021. Four of these (U-C10A, U-C10B, U-C10C, and U-C10D) were found and first sampled during the 1st Quarter 2022. During the process to locate and identify the underdrains, the on-site contractor and ARM Group (who prepared the certification report) confirmed that U-C9A was never installed because it was determined to be unnecessary during construction. All other underdrains have been located and are sampled quarterly when flowing.

During the 1st Quarter 2022, MW-105DR and MW-106DR were installed to replace MW-105D and MW-106D at their approved locations to accommodate Cell 11 construction. In accordance with the permit, all four wells were sampled concurrently during the 1st and 2nd Quarters of 2022. The results from both sets of concurrent samples indicated that the groundwater quality in the replacement wells is generally comparable to the original wells. MW-105D and MW-106D were decommissioned in the spring of 2022.

Finally, it should be noted that additional monthly sampling occurred from February 2024 through January 2025 at several wells and leachate monitoring points to monitor groundwater quality from an unintentional disposal of mercury-impacted waste. A Sampling and Analysis Plan was submitted by CEC on January 17, 2024, and approved by the PADEP on February 21, 2024. The results of this additional sampling were included in a report submitted by CEC on March 24, 2025. As indicated in that report, mercury was not detected at any sampling location during the monitoring period. In response, PADEP issued a letter on May 14, 2025 to Lancaster Landfill requesting additional sampling from the Cell 11 LDZ. PADEP, Lancaster Landfill, and Langan then discussed the PADEP's request through email and telephone conversations, and the PADEP agreed on the proposed approach in a June 27, 2025 email. The agreed approach was ultimately documented in Lancaster Landfill's July 11, 2025 letter response. A summary of that is provided below for convenience:

The Cell 11 Leachate Collection Zone (LCZ) will be sampled and analyzed quarterly for total mercury until the cell is closed and capped, which is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2027. If mercury is not detected during that time, then WM will return to routine Form 50 monitoring. If mercury is detected during that time, then WM will sample the Cell 11 leachate detection zone (LDZ) quarterly for mercury until the cell is closed and capped. It should be noted that this will be in addition to the full Form 50 parameter list, which this LDZ is sampled for annually (during the 4th quarters) and includes mercury. If mercury is detected in the Cell 11 LDZ above the PADEP Groundwater Medium Specific Concentration (MSC), then total and dissolved mercury will be sampled annually in the wells hydrogeologically downgradient of Cell 11 (MW-104D, MW-105DR, and MW-106DR).

3.2 Leachate Collection and Detection Zone Networks

The LCZ and LDZ monitoring networks includes one LCZ, which is a commingled sample for the sitewide leachate. Eleven LDZs are monitored across the site: LDZ-1 through LDZ-11.

3.3 Perimeter Methane Gas Monitoring Probes

Methane migration is monitored by a network of 4 perimeter gas monitoring probes installed around the perimeter of Lancaster Landfill. The current network includes probes P001 through P004.

3.4 Dust Fall Monitoring Network

Finally, dust migration is monitored by a network of 4 dust fall monitoring points installed around the perimeter of Lancaster Landfill. The current network includes Location A through Location D.

4. QUARTERLY MONITORING RESULTS

The following sections present a summary of the quarterly monitoring results and a discussion for each monitoring network.

4.1 Sampling Activities

Field sampling activities for the 3rd Quarter 2025 were conducted from August 4-6, 2025 (Table 1). Monitoring well purging and sampling activities were implemented in accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (CEC, 2018) and site permit.

In accordance with the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (CEC, 2018), groundwater monitoring wells, surface water monitoring points, and the underdrains at the site are analyzed for specific parameters based on the requirements of the permit. Refer to Table 1 of the Groundwater Monitoring Plan (CEC, 2018) for complete details.

All water samples collected at the site were delivered to Geochemical Testing for chemical analysis. Geochemical Testing is certified in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for performing chemical analysis of the reported parameters. The PADEP Form 21s are included in Appendix A and B. The laboratory reports, laboratory quality control report, and field forms are included in Appendix B.

4.1.1 Visual Well Inspection

During each quarterly sampling event, the groundwater monitoring wells are visually inspected by Geochemical Testing for integrity, any physical damage and/or changes that may have occurred to the well or surrounding area, or signs of distressed vegetation surrounding the well. Any variation from previous sampling events is noted on the Field Information Form or the Well Condition Inspection Forms (both of which are provided in Appendix B), and Geochemical Testing discusses issues that need to be addressed with the facility. Well casing stickup length, well casing diameter, and material of construction is also recorded on the Field Information Form.

4.1.2 Groundwater Elevation Measurements

Prior to groundwater purging and sampling activities, depth to water and water level elevation [feet above mean sea level (ft amsl)] were recorded to the nearest hundredth of a foot. The water level measurements are used to prepare groundwater contours to determine groundwater hydraulic gradient and flow direction and velocity at the site. Groundwater elevations for the 3rd Quarter 2025 sampling event were generally comparable to historical groundwater elevation measurements. Also, it should be noted that the groundwater elevation measured in MW-111DR during the 2nd Quarter 2025 appears to have been anomalous because the elevation returned to historic levels during the 3rd Quarter 2025.

4.1.3 Groundwater Flow Velocity

The horizontal groundwater seepage velocities were estimated using the following equation:

$$v = \frac{(K_h i)}{n_s}$$

Where “ v ” is the average groundwater velocity; “ K_h ” is the horizontal conductivity, “ i ” is the average hydraulic gradient, and “ n_e ” is the effective porosity.

The potentiometric surface map of the New Oxford Formation Hydrostratigraphic Unit indicates that the horizontal gradient is to the northwest at 0.0299 ft/ft (Figure 3). Horizontal groundwater velocity is 11.7 ft/day (4,271 ft/year), based upon an average hydraulic conductivity of 98 ft/day and an effective porosity of 25 percent (BAI, 2007).

4.2 Groundwater Results

Nine groundwater wells were sampled during the 3rd Quarter 2025. Groundwater sample analysis was completed without any difficulties and the results are representative of groundwater at the site.

The PADEP permit requires Lancaster Landfill to submit quarterly sampling results for Form 21 parameters. Lancaster Landfill is providing a quarterly review of the time-series analysis for five select leachate indicator parameters.

4.2.1 Time Series Analysis

A 5-year time series analysis was completed for five leachate indicator parameters [ammonia nitrogen, alkalinity, total dissolved solids (TDS), chloride, and sodium]. Specifically, the graphs were analyzed for significant trends, unexpected geochemical signatures, and anomalously high results

4.2.1.1 New Oxford Formation Hydrostratigraphic Unit

Figure 4 presents the time-series graphs for the monitoring wells installed around the site. No historically significant upward trends were observed, except for alkalinity at MW-109DR. However, the remaining leachate indicator parameters did not exhibit increasing trends. This well will continue to be monitored to determine if further increasing trends develop.

4.3 Surface Water Results

Seven surface water locations were sampled during the 3rd Quarter 2025. The concentration of metals and general chemistry constituents were generally consistent with historical results. Surface water at the site does not appear to be influenced by leachate.

4.4 Leachate Results

During the 3rd Quarter 2025, a grab sample was collected from the composite LCZ and LDZ-10 was also sampled. In accordance with the additional leachate sampling (refer to Section 3.1), the Cell 11 LCZ was also sampled during the 3rd Quarter 2025 and mercury was not detected. The PADEP Form 50s are included in Appendix C.

The average daily LCZ flow from the entire landfill during the quarter was 385.36 gallons per acre per day (g/a/d). This flow is generally comparable with historic results. Based on recommendations from the PADEP, the flows were calculated using data collected from the entire calendar quarter. The average daily LDZ flows from the landfill were:

- 0.5 g/a/d from LDZ-1;
- 0.1 g/a/d from LDZ-2;

- 0 g/a/d from LDZ-3;
- 0.6 g/a/d from LDZ-4;
- 7.1 g/a/d from LDZ-5;
- 9.1 g/a/d from LDZ-6;
- 3.5 g/a/d from LDZ-7;
- 0 g/a/d from LDZ-10; and
- 3.1 g/a/d from LDZ-11.

Based on current and historical analytical and flow data from the LDZs as well as the requirements of the PADEP Form 50, the LDZ sampling and analysis schedule is as follows. LDZ-1, LDZ-2, LDZ-3, LDZ-4, LDZ-5, and LDZ-10 do not appear to be influenced by leachate. Therefore, they should be sampled once per year (during the 4th quarter) for the PADEP Form 50 indicator parameters, assuming flows remain less than 10 g/a/d. LDZ-6, LDZ-7, and LDZ-11 appear to be influenced by leachate. Therefore, they should be sampled once per year (during the 4th quarter) for the full PADEP Form 50 list of parameters, regardless of flow.

Based on this discussion, each LDZ is scheduled to be sampled during the 4th Quarter 2025.

4.5 Perimeter Methane Gas Monitoring Probe Results

Field readings were collected from the perimeter methane gas monitoring probes during the quarter. For reference, 5% methane equals the lower explosive limit (LEL). Methane was not detected at or above the lower explosive limit in any probe during the 3rd Quarter 2025 (Appendix D).

4.6 Dust Fall Monitoring Results

In accordance with the permit, dust fall monitoring is completed monthly at four locations around the site. Each month, the samples are submitted to Geochemical Testing for analyses via methods American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D 1739-82 MOD and D 1739-98 MOD. It should be noted that ASTM D 1739-98 MOD is a conservative analysis because it includes organic matter that would otherwise be removed for via Method ASTM D 1739-82 MOD.

The 3rd Quarter 2025 dust fall results indicate that no sample exceeded the maximum dust fall of 1.5 mg/cm²/month as specified in PA 25 §131.3 and referenced in PA 25 §273.217 (Appendix E).

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Groundwater, surface water, underdrain, and leachate samples were collected and analyzed by Geochemical Testing according to appropriate sampling and analytical procedures and Lancaster Landfill's permit. The following observations are noted for the 3rd Quarter 2025 sampling event:

- The sampling event was completed on August 4 - 6, 2025.
- During the quarter, the New Oxford Formation Hydrostratigraphic Unit was calculated to have a horizontal gradient to the north at 0.0299 ft/ft and a horizontal velocity of 11.7 ft/day (4,271 ft/year) (Figure 3).
- Time-series analysis of select leachate indicator parameters shows no significant upward trends in these constituents through time, except for alkalinity at MW-109DR. However, these trends do not appear to be influenced by leachate because the remaining leachate indicator parameters were generally stable, and VOCs were not detected in these wells. Additionally, geochemical fingerprinting indicates that the groundwater at these locations is chemically dissimilar to leachate.
- The concentration of metals and general chemistry constituents in the surface water samples were generally consistent with historical results.
- Field readings were collected from the perimeter methane gas monitoring probes during the quarter. Methane was not detected at or above the lower explosive limit in any probe during the 3rd Quarter 2025.
- The 3rd Quarter 2025 dust fall results indicate that no sample exceeded the maximum dust fall of 1.5 mg/cm²/month, except for Location B during the month of August. A review of the laboratory case narratives indicates the exceedances do not appear to be entirely reflective of dust emissions generated from landfill operations; however, due to organic matter (insects, etc.) which is commonly present in the samples. During the month of August, Location B was noted as containing clear water with dirt and insects.

Overall, the conclusions of this report are:

- Continued landfilling activities do not appear to be altering the existing groundwater, surface water, or private water supply conditions.
- The groundwater, surface water, and underdrain monitoring networks can monitor the hydrostratigraphic unit and the surface water bodies at Lancaster Landfill.
- The frequency of sampling and the constituents analyzed are appropriate for determining if a release has occurred.

6. CERTIFICATION

By affixing my seal to this, I do hereby certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that the information contained in this report is true and correct. I further certify I am licensed to practice in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that it is within my professional expertise to verify the correctness of the information. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment.

Name Thomas E. Antonacci, P.G.

[seal]

Signature 

Date 10/15/25



7. REFERENCES

- Blazosky Associates, Inc (BAI, 2007). PADEP Form 6 Geologic Information. Veolia ES Lancaster, LLC; Veolia ES Lancaster Landfill; Phase I & II Landfill Expansion Application for Major Permit Modification. June 2007.
- Blazosky Associates, Inc (BAI, 2007). PADEP Form 7 Hydrogeologic Information. Veolia ES Lancaster, LLC; Veolia ES Lancaster Landfill; Phase I & II Landfill Expansion Application for Major Permit Modification. June 2007.
- Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc., 2018. "Lancaster Landfill Groundwater and Surface Water Sampling and Analysis Plan." Submitted September 2018.

TABLES

**TABLE 1
FIELD SAMPLING SUMMARY
THIRD QUARTER 2025
LANCASTER LANDFILL**

SAMPLE LOCATION	SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE TIME	WATER LEVEL ⁽¹⁾ (ft)	WELL DEPTH ⁽¹⁾ (ft)	MEASURING POINT ELEV. ⁽²⁾ (ft amsl)	GROUNDWATER ELEV. ⁽¹⁾ (ft amsl)	FIELD PARAMETERS						COMMENTS
							pH	COND. (µS/cm)	TEMP. (deg C)	TURB. (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	STREAM FLOW RATE (gpm)	
Groundwater													
MW-101U	08/04/25	9:46	17.58	54.00	495.93	478.35	5.19	160	13.9	0.0	4.2		
MW-104D	08/04/25	13:39	39.64	76.50	418.32	378.68	6.37	507	14.4	355.0	1.1		
MW-105DR	08/04/25	9:54	33.07	60.00	410.93	377.86	6.30	824	13.3	10.1	0.7		
MW-106DR	08/04/25	8:51	37.02	103.50	427.53	390.51	6.80	282	13.8	13.0	3.5		
MW-107DR	08/04/25	12:00	24.14	50.50	466.85	442.71	6.52	956	16.1	20.7	14.5		
MW-108DR	08/04/25	13:32	44.88	80.00	471.40	426.52	7.27	436	14.6	3.9	3.6		
MW-109DR	08/04/25	11:29	56.72	101.70	435.00	378.28	5.16	538	14.3	0.0	2.4		
MW-110DR	08/04/25	8:49	6.97	32.00	428.00	421.03	6.44	811	12.4	0.0	1.0		
MW-111DR	08/04/25	12:22	28.97	120.15	486.65	457.68	6.82	303	16.4	496.0	0.5		
Surface Water													
MGSU01	08/04/25	9:25					8.26	469	22.5	0.0	8.4	561	
MGSD02	08/04/25	9:05					8.35	340	21.8	0.0	11.5	6284	
MGSU03	08/04/25	9:40					8.08	341	20.5	0.0	9.1	748	
MGSD04	08/01/25	11:30					8.73	351	25.7	0.0	11.2	1795	
MGSD05	08/04/25	11:45					8.55	343	25.1	0.0	7.1	6733	
MGSU06	08/04/25	8:45					7.96	344	21.2	0.0	12.6	5349	
MGSU07	08/04/25	8:30					8.08	363	22.3	7.6	6.7	3366	
Underdrain													
U-C2	08/04/25	10:50					8.33	419	23.0	0.0	12	0.25	
U-C5													No sample
U-C6A													No sample
U-C6B	08/05/25	10:45					6.84	445	26.7	5.6	5.7	1.00	
U-C8A	08/05/25	13:00					6.89	601.00	29.10	75.70	2.30	0.50	
U-C9B													No sample
U-C9C													No sample
U-C10A													No sample
U-C10B													No sample
U-C10C													No sample
U-C10D													No sample
Leachate													
Leachate Storage Tank	08/05/25	8:20					8.13	4430	23.3				
LDZ-1													
LDZ-2													
LDZ-3													
LDZ-4													
LDZ-5													
LDZ-6													
LDZ-7													
LDZ-10	08/05/25	8:00					7.90	1164	22.2				
LDZ-11	08/06/25	13:50					8.07	5910	22.2				

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ Measured from top of the inner casing.

⁽²⁾ Elevation of the top of the inner casing from field forms.

**TABLE 2
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
THIRD QUARTER 2025
LANCASTER LANDFILL**

Chemical Constituent	Unit	Analytical	MCL/SWHS	GROUNDWATER								
				MW-101U	MW-104D	MW-105DR	MW-106DR	MW-107DR	MW-108DR	MW-109DR	MW-110DR	MW-111DR
Inorganics												
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	15	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Chloride	mg/L	EPA 8260	250 ⁽²⁾	4.6	8.2	65.2	< 1.0	112	7.8	22.0	9.2	14.1
Iron	mg/L	EPA 8260	0.3 ⁽²⁾	0.15	0.20	< 0.05	0.25	0.86	< 0.05	0.07	< 0.05	2.47
Iron, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 8260	0.3 ⁽²⁾	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.15	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	1.62
pH (Field)	S.U.	EPA 8260	6.5 - 8.5 ⁽²⁾	5.19	6.37	6.30	6.80	6.52	7.27	5.16	6.44	6.82
Lab pH	S.U.	EPA 8260	6.5 - 8.5 ⁽²⁾	6.02 H	7.10 H	6.41 H	7.50 H	7.10 H	7.56 H	5.95 H	7.10 H	6.65 H
Sodium	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	4.9	13.9	36.5	15.7	16.7	8.6	15.0	6.1	15.3
Sodium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	5.0	13.4	36.3	17.8	15.1	9.0	15.3	6.0	15.7
Specific Conductance (Field)	µmhos/cm	EPA 8260	--	160	507	824	282	956	436	538	811	303
Specific Conductance	µmhos/cm	EPA 8260	--	147	464	729	249	877	402	498	740	268
Sulfate	mg/L	EPA 8260	250 ⁽²⁾	14.6	43.1	108	< 10	21.5	33.3	122	55.5	46.0
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.5	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.8	1.6	< 1.0
Total Organic Halogen	µg/L	EPA 8260	--	< 50	< 50	58	< 50	108 M2 R2	< 50	62	< 50	< 50
Other Inorganics												
Alkalinity to pH 4.5	mg/L	ASTM D 1067-11	--	27	188	120	121	257	147	73	311	59
Ammonia Nitrogen	mg/L	EPA 350.1	--	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.18	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Arsenic	µg/L	EPA 200.8	10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Arsenic, dissolved	µg/L	EPA 200.8	10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Barium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	2	0.18	0.11	0.11	0.18	0.76	0.20	0.02	0.24	0.12
Barium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	2	0.19	0.09	0.11	0.19	0.59	0.20	0.02	0.24	0.12
Calcium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	12.6	61.5	76.2	26.8	110	48.3	51.6	136	16.8
Calcium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	13.1	59.2	74.7	29.0	97.6	49.0	54.2	131	17.9
Chromium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Chromium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Lead	µg/L	EPA 200.8	15	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	8.5	< 5.0	< 5.0
Lead, dissolved	µg/L	EPA 200.8	15	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	7.5	< 5.0	< 5.0
Magnesium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	4.7	15.2	25.6	7.0	32.2	11.0	17.3	13.8	12.4
Magnesium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	4.8	14.7	25.3	7.8	28.6	11.4	18.0	13.3	12.6
Manganese	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.05 ⁽²⁾	< 0.01	0.05	0.05	0.24	0.07	< 0.01	1.04	< 0.01	1.40
Manganese, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.05 ⁽²⁾	< 0.01	0.03	0.05	0.21	0.02	0.03	1.06	< 0.01	1.39
Nickel	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Nickel, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Phenolics	µg/L	EPA 420.4	2000 ⁽¹⁾	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0
Potassium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	1.8	2.4	3.4	1.3	2.6	1.9	3.6	1.4	1.8
Potassium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	1.8	2.3	3.4	1.4	2.2	2.0	3.7	1.4	1.8
Total dissolved solids	mg/L	SM 2540 C	500 ⁽²⁾	86	236	372	120	450	210	272	360	154

MCL/SWHS

Federal MCLs are shown, where promulgated.

(1) PADEP Statewide Health Standards (SWHS) are shown in the when a federal MCL has not been promulgated.

(2) Secondary MCL.

**TABLE 2
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
THIRD QUARTER 2025
LANCASTER LANDFILL**

Chemical Constituent	Unit	Analytical	MCL/SWHS	SURFACE WATER						
				MGSU01	MGSU02	MGSU03	MGSU04	MGSU05	MGSU06	MGSU07
Inorganics										
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	14	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	11	< 10
Chloride	mg/L	EPA 8260	250 ⁽²⁾	33.4	24.6	14.7	22.5	22.9	20.8	25.1
Iron	mg/L	EPA 8260	0.3 ⁽²⁾	0.53	0.13	0.07	< 0.05	0.14	0.12	0.21
Iron, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 8260	0.3 ⁽²⁾	0.28	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.05
pH (Field)	S.U.	EPA 8260	6.5 - 8.5 ⁽²⁾	8.26	8.35	8.08	8.73	8.55	7.96	8.08
Lab pH	S.U.	EPA 8260	6.5 - 8.5 ⁽²⁾	7.89 H	7.91 H	7.47 H	7.95 H	7.84 H	7.71 H	7.85 H
Sodium	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	19.4	14.9	10.3	15.5	14.5	13.8	14.8
Sodium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	18.8	15.8	10.4	15.4	15.3	14.0	15.6
Specific Conductance (Field)	µmhos/cm	EPA 8260	--	469	340	341	351	343	344	363
Specific Conductance	µmhos/cm	EPA 8260	--	460	330	324	346	331	333	311
Sulfate	mg/L	EPA 8260	250 ⁽²⁾	37.5	19.1	21.6	23.5	19.0	23.0	16.3
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	EPA 8260	--	3.0	2.0	1.2	1.6	1.9	1.7	2.0
Total Organic Halogen	µg/L	EPA 8260	--							
Other Inorganics										
Alkalinity to pH 4.5	mg/L	ASTM D 1067-11	--	120	67	44	60	66	65	63
Ammonia Nitrogen	mg/L	EPA 350.1	--	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Arsenic	µg/L	EPA 200.8	10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Arsenic, dissolved	µg/L	EPA 200.8	10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Barium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	2	0.12	0.10	0.17	0.13	0.10	0.08	0.09
Barium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	2	0.12	0.10	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.08	0.09
Calcium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	53.4	31.5	31.3	34.0	31.8	33.5	29.0
Calcium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	51.6	33.5	31.4	34.1	32.5	33.9	30.1
Chromium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Chromium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.1	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Lead	µg/L	EPA 200.8	15	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Lead, dissolved	µg/L	EPA 200.8	15	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Magnesium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	14.5	9.9	11.5	11.5	10	10.4	9.6
Magnesium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	14.0	10.6	11.4	11.5	10.2	10.5	10
Manganese	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.05 ⁽²⁾	0.32	0.03	0.02	< 0.01	0.03	0.04	0.05
Manganese, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	0.05 ⁽²⁾	0.30	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03
Nickel	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Nickel, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Phenolics	µg/L	EPA 420.4	2000 ⁽¹⁾	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0	< 20.0
Potassium	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	3.2	2.8	4.2	3.6	2.7	2.8	2.8
Potassium, dissolved	mg/L	EPA 200.7	--	3.1	2.9	4.1	3.5	2.8	2.9	3.0
Total dissolved solids	mg/L	SM 2540 C	500 ⁽²⁾	234	194	198	204	194	198	192


MCL/SWHS

Federal MCLs are shown, where promulgated.

(1) PADEP Statewide Health Standards (SWHS) are shown in the when a federal MCL has not been promulgated.


(2) Secondary MCL.


FIGURES



Notes:
 1. Elizabethtown USGS Quadrangle Map
 Topographic basemap is provided through Langan's Esri
 ArcGIS software licensing and ArcGIS online
 Copyright: © 2013 National Geographic Society, I-cubed.

Legend
 Site Boundary

 Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC 2400 Ansys Drive, Suite 403 Canonsburg, PA 15317 T: 724.514.5100 F: 724.514.5101 www.langan.com	Project	Figure Title	Project No.	Figure	
	LANCASTER LANDFILL MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP LANCASTER COUNTY PA	SITE LOCATION MAP	250243901	1	
			Date		4/7/2025
			Scale		1" = 2,000 feet
			Drawn By	LB	



Legend

- Groundwater Monitoring Wells
- Surface Water Points
- Underdrain Points
- Gas Monitoring Probes
- Dust Fall Monitoring Points
- PADEP 305B Stream
- Approximate Cell Location
- Site Boundary

1,000 0 1,000
SCALE IN FEET

Notes:
1. Imagery provided through Langan's subscription to Nearmap.com. Flown on 3/9/2025.

LANGAN

Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC
 2400 Ansys Drive, Suite 403
 Canonsburg, PA 15317

T: 724.514.5100 F: 724.514.5101
 www.langan.com

Project

LANCASTER LANDFILL

MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP


LANCASTER COUNTY PA

Drawing Title

SAMPLE LOCATION MAP


Project No.	250243901	2
Date	4/8/2025	
Scale	1" = 1,000 feet	
Drawn By	LB	

Measured August 4, 2025
 i (MW-101U to MW-104D) = 0.0299
 k = 98 ft/day
 n = 25%
 v = 11.7 ft/day (4344 ft/yr)



Legend

- Groundwater Monitoring Wells
- Approximate Groundwater Elevation Contour
- PADEP 305B Stream
- Approximate Cell Location
- Site Boundary



Notes:
 1. Imagery provided through Langan's subscription to Nearmap.com. Flown on 3/9/2025.
 2. The groundwater elevation at MW-108DR has been omitted from the contour calculations due to anomalous readings.

LANGAN
 Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC
 2400 Ansys Drive, Suite 403
 Canonsburg, PA 15317
 T: 724.514.5100 F: 724.514.5101
 www.langan.com


Project
LANCASTER LANDFILL
 MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP
 LANCASTER COUNTY PA

Drawing Title
NEW OXFORD FORMATION POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAP

Project No.	250243901	3
Date	10/8/2025	
Scale	1" = 400 feet	
Drawn By	LB	

FIGURE 4

NEW OXFORD FORMATION
TIME SERIES PLOTS



Note: MW-105DR and MW-106DR were first sampled 1st Quarter 2022; prior results shown are from MW-105D and MW-106D.




FIGURE 4

NEW OXFORD FORMATION
TIME SERIES PLOTS






FIGURE 4

NEW OXFORD FORMATION
TIME SERIES PLOTS



APPENDICES (on CD)

- Appendix A PADEP Form 21 for Groundwater, Surface Water, and Underdrains
- Appendix B Laboratory Files and PADEP Electronic Data Deliverable
- Appendix C PADEP Form 50 for Leachate
- Appendix D Perimeter Methane Gas Monitoring Probes
- Appendix E Dust Fall Results